



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/741,521

12/20/2000

Paul Vegliante

2112-342 US

6443

7590 03/19/2008
Mathews, Collins, Shepherd & Gould, P.A.
Suite 306
100 Thanet Circle
Princeton, NJ 08540

EXAMINER

MICHALSKI, SEAN M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/19/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/741,521	Applicant(s) VEGLIANTE ET AL.	
	Examiner SEAN M. MICHALSKI	Art Unit 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SEAN M. MICHALSKI.

(3) Diane Dunn McKay, Esq.

(2) Kenneth Peterson.

(4) Paul Vegliante.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Several food wrap film cutters, including ones produced in accordance with the invention, and alleged copies thereof.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lucas, Wankow, Tsai, as cited in 892 previously.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The combination of references, as made final was affirmed by Examiner; Examiner indicated willingness to consider hard evidence of secondary considerations including failure of others, commercial success and copying by others.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth E Peterson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required